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# Executive Summary

This report addresses the troubles encountered understanding the requirements. While the first mandatory requirement and the supplementary II were easy to understand, the second mandatory, which involved providing a link to the planning dashboard on GitHub, was unclear. After reviewing related documents and consulting with the lecturer, a solution was found.

# Revision Table

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Revision number | Date | Description |
| 1 | 2-19-2025 | Initial version |

# Introduction

This report details the process and outcomes of the first delivery of the project. The task was guided by two mandatory requirements, one of which was clearly defined and easily completed, while the other posed small problems due to a lack of concrete direction. Concretly, the second individual mandatory requirement. This report will outline the steps taken to address this ambiguity, including the review of relevant documents and the guidance provided during a follow-up session with the lecturer. The main content of this report is the analysis records, providing a comprehensive overview of the process and results.

# Analysis Records

For this first delivery the elicited individual requirements did not cause many issues, the first mandatory requirement was clear enough to complete the task without any issues. Thanks to the Annexes document, the necessary data to include in the analysis and the planning and progress reports was also clearly outlined.

However, the second mandatory requirement gave the same problem that the third group mandatory requirement, it was not specific enough:

“Provide a link to your planning dashboard in GitHub to review the tasks, their current status, and your schedule.”

Initially, other documents (Annexes, On your deliverables) were reviewed to find where the link should be provided, but no information was found. Additionally, it was not possible to add the link to Student #2’s document as it is protected, the same problem as with the group mandatory requirement. During the first and only follow-up session, the student asked the lecturer where the links to the dashboard should be added. The lecturer then advised to include it in the Readme.txt file.

# Conclusions

In conclusion, the first delivery was largely successful, with the first mandatory requirement being clear and manageable. The provided Annexes document was instrumental in gathering the necessary data for the reports. However, the second mandatory requirement was not concrete enough in specifying where the GitHub dashboard links should be included. After reviewing the available documents and consulting with the lecturer, the issue was resolved by adding the link to the Readme.txt file. This experience highlights the importance of providing clear and specific instructions.
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